



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/990,001	001 11/21/2001		Futoshi Hachimura	B422-176	6301
26272	7590	08/04/2005	005 EXAMINER		
COWAN L	IEBOWI	TZ & LATMAN P	CHAI, LONGBIT		
JOHN J TOI 1133 AVE C		MERICAS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1133 AVE C			2131		
NEW YORK	K, NY 10	0036	DATE MAILED: 08/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

²								
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/990,001	HACHIMURA, FU	тоѕні				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Longbit Chai	2131	_				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence ad	dress				
THE - Externafter - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, r oly within the statutory minimum I will apply and will expire SIX (6 de, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this column me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29.	June 2005.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
•	Claim(s) <u>1,3-14 and 16-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·								
6)⊠		•						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	•						
ŕ		or election requiremen						
Applicati	on Papers			,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠	10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			ED 1 101/d\				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) (Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority document 2 Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received nts have been received onty documents have l au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	I. I in Application No been received in this National	Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		view Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date		er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTC r:	D-152)				
O D-44	rademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/990,001 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 – 30 have been presented for examination. Claims 2 and 15 have been canceled; claims 1, 3 – 13, 14 and 16 – 30 have been amended in an amendment filed 6/29/2005.

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed on 6/29/2005 with respect to the subject matter of the instant claims have been fully considered but are not persuasive.
- 2. As per claim 1, 13, 14 and 26 30, Applicant remarks: "Anderson does not teach the client does not have to have a key to decrypt the encrypted message (Page 13, 2nd Para, Last sentence". Examiner notes Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Anderson teaches: (a) the specific users (i.e. clients) do not have to have a key because the public /private keys are assigned to systems rather than to specific users (i.e. clients) (Anderson: Para [0022] Line 18 19); (b) In addition, Anderson discloses the client could require only a small amount of storage space without the needs to save and manage the messages so that the client need only be able to display a message and to send request to the server (i.e. MDS Message Distribution Server) (Anderson: Para [0021] Line 4 9); and (c) the system then manages requests from the client to access the message by permitting access when appropriate, and the system (i.e. server computer system) performs decrypting the message if necessary (Anderson: Para [0006] Line 9 12). Therefore,

Art Unit: 2131

Anderson does indeed teach the client does not have to have a key to decrypt the encrypted message;).

- 3. Furthermore, Applicant argues: "Anderson does not teach any authentication of the allowance of the use of a recipient of a managed key of the processing and does not disclose the message can therefore be decrypted upon such authentication and transmission of the decrypted message to the client". Examiner notes Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Anderson teaches: (a) the system retrieves an encryption key for a server (i.e. the "managed key" to meet the claim language) and sends the encrypted message to the server (Anderson: Para [0008] Line 6 – 10); (b) the system then manages requests from the client to access the message by permitting access when appropriate, and the system (i.e. server computer system) performs decrypting the message if necessary (Anderson: Para [0006] Line 9 -12); and (c) the specific users (i.e. clients) do not have to have a key because the public /private keys are assigned to systems rather than to specific users (i.e. clients) (Anderson: Para [0022] Line 18 – 19). Therefore, Anderson does indeed teach the authentication of the allowance of the use of a recipient of a managed key of the processing and the message can therefore be decrypted upon such authentication and transmission of the decrypted message to the client.
- 4. As per claim 13, 26, 28 and 30, Applicant remarks: "these claims further recite that the client requesting to decrypt the encrypted message, sending the authentication information and receiving the decrypted message transmitted in said transmission step (Page 12, Last sentence)". Examiner notes Anderson teaches: (a) the system retrieves

Application/Control Number: 09/990,001

Art Unit: 2131

an encryption key for a server (i.e. the "managed key" to meet the claim language) and sends the encrypted message to the server (Anderson: Para [0008] Line 6 - 10), (b) the client could send request to the server when the client requires only a small amount of storage space – without the needs to save and manage the messages – so that the client need only be able to display a message (i.e. MDS – Message Distribution Server) (Anderson: Para [0021] Line 4 - 9), and (c) the system then manages requests from the client to access the message by permitting access when appropriate, and the system (i.e. server computer system) performs decrypting the message if necessary (Anderson: Para [0006] Line 9 - 12). Thereby, Anderson does indeed teach the client requesting to decrypt the encrypted message, sending the authentication information and receiving the decrypted message transmitted in said transmission step.

Page 4

5. Examiner notes considering several repetitive claim limitations in the claims 1 – 30 and the incorporation of cancelled claims 2 and 15 into existing claims 1 and 14 respectively, please refer to 1st Non-Final Office action along with the "Response to Arguments" set forth in this 2nd Office action.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Longbit Chai whose telephone number is 571-272-3788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,001

Art Unit: 2131

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Longbit Chai Examiner Art Unit 2131

JAC LBO

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100